Many people have become agnostics because of the supposed conflict between the Bible and science. In truth, there is no conflict at all between a correct translation of the Bible and really proven science, not just unproven theories. One of these supposed conflicts is between the fact science knows human beings have lived on the earth far longer than the few thousand years covered by the Bible and the common belief that the Bible records Adam was the first man. Yes, I know most of the preachers say that, but the Bible doesn’t, it merely says Adam was the first white man. Let’s look at the record.

The many mistranslations in the King James Bible obscure much of the truth. For example Genesis 1:1-2, “In the beginning, Yahweh created the heaven and the earth. And the earth was without form and void and darkness was upon the face of the deep.” In the Hebrew it says, “Now the earth had become chaotic and empty.” (See Rotherham’s Emphasized Bible) Some early catastrophe had wrecked the earth, which was not without form and void before that. This was a judgment of Yahweh on earlier civilizations, for their wickedness. Jeremiah 4:23-27 gives a vision of it. “I beheld the earth and lo, it was without form and void; and the heavens and they had no light. I beheld the mountains and lo, they trembled and all the hills moved lightly. I beheld and lo, there was no man and all the birds of the heavens were fled. I beheld, and lo, the fruitful place was a wilderness and all the cities thereof were broken down at the presence of Yahweh and by His fierce anger. For thus hath Yahweh said, The whole land shall be desolate; yet will I not make a full end.” Therefore we do find buried ruins of cities older than Adam and skeletons which can be dated by the carbon 14 process as many as thousands of years older. The Bible itself tells us about this.

Next the Bible tells us about the creation of men, in the plural in Genesis 1:26-28 saying, “Male and female created He them and Yahweh told these people, Be fruitful and multiply and replenish [actually fill] the earth”. Plenish is an obsolete English word meaning to fill. You cannot replenish what was never plenished, or filled, before. In Genesis chapter 2, we find the Adam (in the singular) created. The Hebrew word aw-dawm, rendered Adam in English, is from a root word meaning to show blood in the face or of a ruddy complexion, a word obviously not applicable to the dark races, which we also know from scientific evidence to be much older than the white race. Bible scholars know Genesis 3:20, “And Adam called his wife’s name Eve because she was the mother of all living”, is a later interpolation, which was not in the earlier manuscripts. (See Moffatt’s translation.)

Genesis chapter 4 records the birth of Cain and Abel. In the Hebrew, the wording suggests that they were twins. No other child of Eve is mentioned until the birth of Seth, when Adam was 130 years old. Certainly this was long after the birth of Cain and Abel,
most scholars say this was over 100 years later. Yet, when Cain killed Abel, and in
punishment was driven out of the land, he complained to Yahweh in Genesis 4:14,
"Any one that findeth me shall slay me". Upon being sent away, Cain found many other
people, for Genesis 4:17 records Cain not only married a wife, but built a city. You
don't build a city for just two people. These were the pre-Adamic races, mentioned in
the latter part of Genesis chapter 2.

The garden of Eden was not a plantation of ordinary trees and shrubs. Yahweh
did nothing so foolish as to make a special creation, just to have a man to wield shovel
and pruning shears, when He already had millions of pre Adamites available for this
type of work. We are told that the garden of Eden contained the tree of the knowledge
(or experience) of good and evil. No tree of the forest has any knowledge or experience
of either good or evil. Ezekiel chapter 31 says, "Behold, the Assyrian was a cedar in
Lebanon, with fair branches and a shadowing bough and of an high stature; Therefore
his height was exalted above all the trees of the field and his boughs were multiplied
and his branches became long; all the fowls of heaven made their nests in his boughs
and under his branches did all the beasts of the field bring forth their young and under
his shadow dwelt all great nations. The cedars in the garden of Yahweh could not
hide him: the fir trees were not like his boughs and the chestnut trees were not like his
branches; nor any tree in the garden of Yahweh was like unto him in his beauty. I
have made him fair by the multitude of his branches: so that all the trees of Eden that
were in the garden of Yahweh envied him". Obviously, the trees in the garden of
Yahweh in Eden were family trees of races and nations who admired and envied the
early Assyrian empire. These made up the garden that Adam was to cultivate. Satan
had been what we might call the superintendent of this planet, to rule it in obedience to
Yahweh's will, until he forfeited this position by rebellion against Yahweh. Adam was
sent to take his place. It was Adams job to rule the various nations and races of the
earth as Yahweh's representative here, educating them in Yahweh's laws and
enforcing obedience to those laws. These other races and nations had been here long
before Adam. Therefore the Bible makes it unmistakably clear we are not all descended
from Adam and Eve, for there were other races on earth, already old, already
numerous, when Adam was created. Among these other races there are several who
are simply pre-Adamic and one at least, which is satanic. If you will read the third
chapter of Genesis, you will notice that, immediately after the fall of Adam, when
Yahweh required them to answer what they had done, Yahweh condemned Satan. The
word mistranslated serpent is the Hebrew word naw-khash, which literally means
enchanter or magician.

No doubt Satan still possessing angelic powers, was able to be an enchanter or
magician. It is certain the one who seduced Eve was no mere scaly snake wriggling
along on the ground. Yes, I said seduced Eve, for this is what she admitted in the
original Hebrew. Cain was the son of this seduction. The Bible uses the word begat with
monotonous regularity but, the first time the Bible ever says Adam ever begat anyone is
Genesis 5:3 where it says, “And Adam lived an hundred and thirty years and begat a
son in his own likeness, after his image: and called his name Seth.” To get back to
Genesis 3:15, Yahweh said to Satan, “I will put enmity between thee and the woman
and between thy seed and her seed.” The same Hebrew word for seed is used in both
cases. Satan was to have just as literal seed, or descendants, as Eve. Yahweh’s own
word being pledged to this, we must expect to find it actually happening and we do. Yahshua tells us of it.

In Matthew 13:38-39, explaining the parable of the tares among the wheat Yahshua says, “The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom: but the tares are the children of the wicked one: the enemy that sowed them is the devil.” In John 6:70-71, Yahshua had been talking with His twelve disciples and we read, “Yahshua answered them, Have not I chosen you twelve and one of you is a devil? He spake of Judas Escariot the son of Simon: for he it was that should betray Him being one of the twelve.” You should carefully read John chapter 8 where Yahshua told those who hated Him, “Ye are of your father the devil and the lusts of your father ye will do.” He was not being vulgarly abusive in either of these cases, for He never resorted to name calling so His statement was precisely accurate. He did call some of them serpents, children of vipers, which was accurate. Long before this, they had adopted the serpent as a symbol of Satan. This is why their tradition had given the word naw-khash the translation serpent, when it really means enchanter. Yahshua therefore was telling them that they were of their father the devil (or serpent, if they preferred that word). In this He was simply stating a biological fact with scientific precision and identifying the persons of this ancestry. Whenever someone tells you the Bible is in conflict with what modern science has proven true, don’t you believe it. The things many preachers teach are in conflict with scientific truth, as we all know, but these preachers are equally in conflict with the Bible. Go back to the Bible, not to any man made doctrines and double check it for accuracy of translation. You will find what the Bible really says, in its original languages, is accurate with a precision our scientists have not yet achieved.

Critical note by Clifton A. Emahiser: While Comparet does quite well with this presentation it is not without error. Comparet’s premise is that the non-adamic races were created at Gen. 1:26-27. In a brochure titled The Chronicles Of Genesis, I addressed this erroneous position saying: “Because of the degradation of Race which we are witnessing today before our very eyes, the chronicles of the Book of Genesis become of the utmost importance to us. The first chronicle we find in the entire Bible is at Genesis 2:4-7. Because most people don’t recognize this passage as a chronicle (an historical record of a previous event(s)), they mistakenly take it for a continuation of creation. Thus they try to identify two separate creations. They incorrectly deduce from this that the ‘man’ at Genesis 1:26-27 (the same Strong’s #120 as that used at Genesis 2:19) is the creation of the non-adamic races, and that the ‘man’ at Genesis 2:7 is the creation of Adam-kind. This dangerous, unsound theory they usually dub ‘the sixth and eighth day creations of man’.

“Philo seems to be the source for the idea of two creations. While Philo is valuable for some of the history during his time, his writings, for the most part, are outrageous. Actually, some of Philo’s history interlocks with Josephus, though Josephus is of a later date. Outside of the word ‘logos’, Philo has little Biblical inspiration to offer. In fact, he does quite the opposite. The idea of the two creations of Adam is one of them found under ‘On The Creation’, page 19:

“XLVI. (134) ‘After this, Moses says that ‘God made man, having taken clay from the earth, and he breathed into his face the breath of life.’ And by this expression
he shows most clearly that there is a vast difference between man as generated now, and the first man who was made according to the image of God ...”

The implications are, if Philo is correct, we as White Adamites cannot have both (1) Yahweh’s Spirit Breath and (2) His “Image-Likeness” simultaneously. Which of these two very important inherent attributes shall we sacrifice? Philo implies one man is Spirit-man and the other is Image-man which is the same absurd theory that the 6th & 8th day creationists promote. It’s about time we discard this relic which belongs lock, stock and barrel to Philo. It is absurd to suggest the Spirit without the Image, or the Image without the Spirit!”

Secondly, I don’t agree with Comparet that the empire of Assyria dated back to Eden. It is simply speaking in allegory. Conclusion: The Bible is primarily a record of Adam, and doesn’t specifically give much detail on the origin of the other races! The “Adam” of Genesis chapters 1, 2, and 5 are all the same Adam! Had the other races been created by Yahweh, they would be considered “good” which they are not. Whatever their origin, they’re satanic! Therefore, it is absurd to believe that we Whites are to rule over them!