

MARK DOWNEY'S PHONY NO-SATAN DOGMA, #2

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

As I demonstrated in brochure #1 by this same title, Mark Downey and all his cronies, professing this same erroneous theory of "no-Satan" theology, are sadly lacking an understanding of the parts of speech in English and have little comprehension of the grammatical rules of both Hebrew and Greek. Yet in their deplorable ignorance, they pretend they are authorities on the subject. By proclaiming that the term "Satan" is a pronoun, as Downey did, he clearly exposes his appalling, lethargic mentality. If you don't already have *Mark Downey's Phony No-Satan Dogma, #1*, you should get a copy to examine his gross error for yourself.

It is my opinion that what motivates Downey to promote a "no-Satan" position is to undermine the truth of Genesis 3:15. For if he can manipulate Scripture to somehow make it appear that Satan is but a figment of the imagination, he can falsely argue that there is no such thing as "the seed of the serpent". What are Downey and his ilk going to do with Romans 16:20, where Paul said to them: "**And the God of peace shall bruise Satan under your feet shortly. The grace of our Prince Yahshua Christ be with you.**"

This is already history, for when Titus and the Roman army besieged Jerusalem in 70 A.D., the Romans represented "the seed of the woman" and the bad-fig-jews represented "the seed of the serpent" of Genesis 3:15, for which see Dan. 9:26. It takes a total imbecile to deny that this historical event was not a conflict between the two seeds of Genesis 3:15. Moreover, it was a **physical bruising** of a **physical** people, and not a figment of someone's imagination! If the great Mark Downey is so god-almighty intelligent, let him point to the event Paul was alluding to when he said: "And the God of peace shall bruise Satan under your feet shortly."

Christ told the bad-fig-jews that they were the lineal descendants of Cain; for who else murdered Abel but Cain (Matt. 23:35)? "**That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar.**" Had not the bad-fig-jews been the descendants of Cain, Christ would have had no authority for making such an accusation and would thus have become a false accuser! How dare any of us imply that Christ is a false accuser! Yet that's exactly what the no-devil and anti-seedline people do, and they don't so much as blush when they intimate as much! They jump right in where angels fear to tread!

In Downey's *What If Satan Isn't Real, Can Christianity Survive?*, ¶13, he makes the following conjecture: "The real satans of the Bible are not fallen angels, but in fact the carnal sin nature of man. 'He that practices sin is of the devil' (1 John 3:8). In other words, when we transgress the Law of God, it's from our own sin nature, being enticed by our own desires. 'For the devil sinned from the beginning', meaning Adam and Eve, and continuing in v. 9, 'Everyone having been born of God does not sin, because His seed (God's) remains in him and he (man) does not value sinning ... by this are the children of God and the children of the devil revealed (v. 10). Anyone who does not practice righteousness is not of God, nor the one who does not love his brother.' The context here is the racial stock of Israel, not satanic Seedline jews. If White people sin, they are the adversaries of God. The qualifier is not an evil infusion of supernatural genetics. It's behavioral in doing what's right according to God." (This makes Downey a jew-apologist!)

It's simply fantastic how Downey interprets 1 John 3:9 and takes it totally out-of-context. Here is how it reads in the KJV: "**Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin; for his seed remaineth in him: and he cannot sin, because he is born of God.**"

Here is how Downey writes it: "Everyone having been born of God does not sin, because His seed (God's) remains in him ..." The pronoun "his" in this verse is not speaking of "God's seed" but, rather the seed of man. This verse is simply stating that as long as a man's sperm remains within his race, he cannot genetically sin. And being "born of God" simply means being "born from above", as at John 3:3, 7. Even Yahshua Christ Himself was "born from above" as indicated at John 3:31. Being "born from above", simply means being born of the heavenly race of God rather than "of the earth ... earthy".

Downey in this paragraph absurdly states: "The real satans of the Bible are not fallen angels, but in fact the carnal sin nature of man ... 'For the devil sinned from the beginning', meaning Adam and Eve ..." Here Downey is defeating his own argument, since the entity being addressed in Genesis is "the serpent". The term "devil" in the Bible is not used until Matt. 4:1, so Downey is inadvertently confirming that Rev. 12:9 is correct, that "the great dragon", "the old serpent", "Devil" and "Satan" are all the same entity! And inasmuch as Herod the Edomite was the "dragon" which "stood before the woman which was ready to be delivered, for to devour her child (Yahshua) as soon as it was born" (v. 4) makes Herod "the devil". But, according to Downey's criteria, it was rather the "carnal sin nature of [Adam] man" that "stood before the woman" (Mary). Can Downey get anything right? It appears not!

Downey wrote five papers entitled *Why We Hate Jews* (Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5). He continued raving on and on about such topics as political correctness under a jewish communist totalitarian state, hate crime laws, evil and wickedness of an antichrist nature in the world, Judaism, Talmudism, jewish murder, lies, stealing, race mixing, espionage, occultism, sexual immorality, aborticide, terrorism, Satanism, usury, slavery, drugs, political and religious corruption, media monopoly, lawyers, doctors, corporations, agriculture, scientific exploitation, holocaust industry, organized crime,

internationalism, kosher tax, disarmament/pacifism, conspiracies etc., **failing to grasp the principal, underlying problem!**

Never once in all his five papers *Why We Hate Jews* did he identify the true Biblical reason for such a hatred. The true reason “why we hate Jews” is found at Genesis 3:15. “**And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.**” The word “enmity” here means hatred. In other words, Yahweh implanted an inherent hatred into the “seed of the woman” toward the “seed of the serpent”, and likewise an inherent hatred into the “seed of the serpent” toward the “seed of the woman”. Therefore, every baby born to the White Adamic race is born with this implanted hatred for the bad-fig-jews, and likewise babies born to bad-fig-jews are born with an inherent hatred toward all White Adamites.

And as for all the evil attributes of the bad-fig-jews which Downey points out, these are attributes inherent to their race. For instance, the reason the bad-fig-jews are liars is because they were born that way. In other words they are congenital liars. The word “congenital” means “existing from their genes at conception”! And every evil attribute which Downey mentions about the bad-fig-jews are “congenital” in nature, for they are born that way.

In the book *The Plot Against The Church* (meaning the romish church), chap. 16, under the heading “The 17th Council Of Toledo Punishes The Jewish Conspiracies With Slavery”, pages 380-381, it tells how the efforts of the romish church in the Gothic Kingdom of Spain “to establish peace between the two races” in that kingdom, which failed under king Egica, who took strict action against the “secret Jews” (those who merely pretended a conversion to Christianity in order to subvert it) of the bad-fig variety. Here is an excerpt: “Danger threatened. This King and the 17th Council of Toledo took refuge in a last hard resort, confiscated the property of the Jews, declared them to be slaves and took away from them the children, that they might be brought up as Christians.” The romish church had to learn the hard way that the evil attributes of the bad-fig-jews are congenital, and that no amount of Christian training can change their pernicious ways, even upon being raised without bad-fig-jewish influence from the cradle. Mark Downey is wrong; fatally wrong!

Here is some gobbledygook from Downey in his *Why We Hate Jews*, part 3, ¶17: “*It takes an extraordinary amount of gall and daring to blame somebody else of what you yourself are guilty of [sic]. The great mass of White Christians more easily fall victim to a big lie than to a little one, since we fudge in the little things, but would be ashamed of lies that were too big. Our people don’t have what it takes to promote the enormity of falsehoods on a grand scale. But jews are born and raised with a notorious effrontery and are thus the great masters of deceit. The sheer magnitude of their lies transcends comprehension of which they make the most treacherous use of [sic].”*

It is simply dumbfounding that Downey can make such a statement as underlined in the paragraph above, and yet not comprehend that it’s all a matter of genetics rather than a “matter of choice”. If it were a “matter of choice”, why wasn’t the romish church successful in training at least a few bad-fig-jews from the cradle to

have respectable attributes? Downey here admits that the jews are **born evil**, while at the same time, denying the WHY and the HOW!

Here is some more gobbledygook from Downey: *“If you don’t love your own race enough to tell a kinsman the truth and cause a brother to be twice the child of hell than yourself, then you can join the ‘satan of the month club’. Verse 11 [of 1st John] adds, ‘For this is the message you heard from the beginning, that we should love one another, not as Cain, who was of that wicked one.’ Cain was not the product of a sexual union between a devil-god and the mother of our race. ‘And for what reason did he slay him (Abel)?’ that he was the literal seed of cosmic evil personified in a superhuman monster called Satan? No, that’s not the reason given. It’s ‘because his own works were evil’ as the verse concludes ‘his brothers (works) were righteous’.”*

Notice how Downey categorizes we two-seedliners who believe there is indeed an entity named Satan as *“twice the child of hell than yourself”*, and implies that we are not telling our brethren the truth. Downey is correct in labeling Abel’s works as righteous and Cain’s as evil, but the works produced by these half-brothers were a result of their respective individual congenital traits they received genetically from their respective fathers.

From a new 1999 translation published by Kregel entitled *The New Complete Works Of Josephus* by Paul L. Maier, at *Antiquities* book 1, chap. 2, we read: “But Cain was not only wicked in other respects, but was wholly intent on getting; and he first contrived to plough the ground. He killed his brother on the occasion following: They had resolved to sacrifice to God. Now Cain brought the fruits of the earth, and of his husbandry; but Abel brought milk, and the firstfruit of his flocks. But God was more delighted with the latter sacrifice, when he was honored with what grew naturally of its own accord, than He was with what was the invention of a covetous man, and gotten by forcing the ground.”

If this Josephus’ translation is correct, Cain may have been hybridizing the plants that he grew. If such is the case, we have in Cain a hybridized person growing hybridized crops! Again, if such is true, no wonder Yahweh was displeased with both Cain and his sacrifice! What could have been more wicked on Cain’s part? This could conceivably be why the bad-fig-jews of today (the lineal descendants of Cain), have an agenda to hybridize the entire White Israel race with the non-whites. If so, no wonder Jude, at verse 11, puts Cain in the same category with Balaam!

What we have here is Paul L. Maier, in addition to William Whiston, translating Josephus. I am sure that neither Whiston nor Maier had an ax to grind on the subject. They were just simply two highly educated Greek students doing the best translation they knew how. Maier has also translated Eusebius’ *The Church History* which was no small endeavor. I know of no criticism of Maier’s renderings of Josephus.

Let’s now read Jude 11: **“Woe unto them! for they have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam for reward, and perished in the gainsaying of Core.”**

WHAT IS THE WAY OF CAIN?

Let's now vividly describe what is meant by "the way of Cain". If one turns on his television to a religious channel and the TV camera scans the audience of a religious service revealing a multiracial audience of people or a mixed-race choir singing, one has just witnessed "the way of Cain". If one turns on his TV and a football, basketball or baseball game is in progress and there are multiracial players in the game, one is observing "the way of Cain". If one turns on his TV, and a news program is in progress with multiracial newscasters, one is seeing "the way of Cain".

If one goes to a food supermarket during the watermelon season and one can find only seedless watermelons, one has come in contact with "the way of Cain". If one takes a drive through the countryside during the wheat, corn or soybean harvest at our present time, one will have watched "the way of Cain" in progress, as today nearly all grain seed is hybrid. If one goes shopping and observes a mother pushing a stroller with a half-breed child, one is definitely seeing firsthand "the way of Cain".

Not only is it "the way of Cain", but it is identical to the "doctrine of Balaam". Rev. 2:14 says: "**But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balac to cast a stumblingblock before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication.**" The word "fornication" in this verse means race-mixing! So whatever the place and whatever the event, if its multicultural in any way, shape, or form, it's "the way of Cain" and known in Scripture as the "doctrine of Balaam". This goes to show you just how far off the mark Mark Downey is!

In his *Why We Hate Jews*, part 1, ¶10, Downey says the following: "*Many are deceived about the origins of judaism and the jewish people ... Suffice it to say for this message: judaism was born in Babylon circa 6th century BC, when the bad figs of Judah became mongrelized, if not racially, at least spiritually.*"

By making these remarks, Downey is following the same strategy as Stephen E. Jones in his *The Babylonian Connection*. The term "Babylonian" in *Babylonian Talmud* has absolutely nothing to do with any religion which Judah learned while in Babylon! It is simply designating where the *Babylonian Talmud* was compiled into writing.

The following documentation is taken from the 1980 *Collier's Encyclopedia*, vol. 22, page 49: "... There are actually two Talmuds, the Palestinian and the Babylonian, each having different Gemara but the same Mishnah text; of the two the Babylonian Talmud is the more important and has received far more attention.

"The Mishnah, after its completion about A.D. 200, became the basic text of study in the Babylonian and Palestinian rabbinical academies ... The Palestinian Talmud was completed about A.D. 400 and the Babylonian about a century later; the latter, containing approximately 2,500,000 words is about three times as large as the former." To understand this documentation, one must realize that the *Babylonian Talmud* was not completed until after the siege of Jerusalem by Titus in 70 A.D., after which a group of bad-fig-jews returned once more to Babylon. In other words, the term Babylonian in *Babylonian Talmud* is the geographic area where it was completed in

writing. It was about 500 A.D., and not “circa 6th century BC” as Downey falsely claims!

Not only does Downey botch up the origin of the *Babylonian Talmud*, but he is also confused as to the origin of the bad-fig-jews. All this clearly shows he doesn't do a thorough research of his subject before he starts writing things down. Had he done his homework about the bad-fig-jews before putting it on paper, he wouldn't have made such a blunder. The origin on the subject of the bad-fig-jews is found in Jeremiah 24 rather than “in Babylon circa 6th century BC” as Downey insists. Actually, in the full text of his manuscript, he names the time of Ezra for the origin of the bad-figs of Judah. When Jeremiah wrote about the “naughty figs”, the remnant of the Judah nation which had avoided the Assyrian captivity (mainly Jerusalem) had not yet been taken into the 70 year Babylonian captivity. Whereas, Ezra didn't write until after the Babylonian captivity! This is a blundering oversight on the part of Downey.

Jeremiah alludes to this process at 2:21: “**Yet I had planted thee a noble vine, wholly a right seed: how then art thou turned into the degenerate plant of a strange vine unto me?**” To explain this process further, I will use the same reference that William Finck used in his *Broken Cisterns, #2, The Interpreter's One Volume Commentary On The Bible* by Charles M. Laymon, on page 455, makes the following comment concerning Hosea 4:10-19: “**The Absurdity of Baal Worship.** The whole harlotrous system of **Baal** fertility rites is utterly ineffectual as well as degrading. Its purpose is to provide fertility for human beings, flocks, and crops; but though the people **play the harlot**, i.e. carry on the sexual fertility acts at the shrine, they do not **multiply** ... Despite woman's usual secondary place in ancient society, there will be no double standard, for the **men** are responsible for the shame of cult prostitution. It is they who require their **daughters** to become **cult prostitutes**, lit. ‘holy women’ ...” And further on concerning Hosea 5:7: “In their **Baal** worship they give birth to **alien children** (vs. 7), the offspring of sexual cult rites ...” For Hosea 5:7 says: “**They have dealt treacherously against Yahweh: for they have begotten strange children ...**” Hosea wrote concerning the northern kingdom of Israel even before Jeremiah wrote concerning Judah, and the process was the same! We must remember, however, that Jeremiah also spoke of the “very good figs” at 24:2!

From all this you can see that this process of race-mixing started much earlier than Ezra's time, though he had to deal with the same phenomenon. Downey attempts to show that it originated in Babylon and is somehow related to a dualistic Babylonian religion, for which he hasn't the least documentation. Actually, the process started with the birth of Cain, for Cain was the first “broken cistern” alluded to at Jeremiah 2:13. The term “broken cisterns” is a metaphor for mamzers of mixed race who cannot retain Yahweh's Spirit implanted into Adam! (Cf. Jer. 2:13; Prov. 5:15-23.)

In his *Why We Hate Jews*, part 5, ¶1, Downey makes a partial statement thusly: “*Ultimately, the jewish machinations for breeding out pure races, not just Whites ...*” In this short excerpt, because he used the plural “races”, he exposes his mistaken idea that somehow there is such a thing as pure racial line of non-Whites. All one need do is the eyeball test to see if the non-Whites are pure. If they have the features of an animal, one can be quite certain they are physically related to that animal! And for

anyone who doesn't think such a thing can happen, just go to Genesis 6! In the Dead Sea Scrolls, it is translated "the sons of heaven" rather than the corrupt KJV rendering "sons of God".

Downey scoffs at there being a war in heaven at Rev. 12:7, but he is overlooking Daniel 10:13 where the prince of Persia withstood Gabriel twenty-one days. Michael coming to assist Gabriel sounds like war to me! Surely, this was an angelic war between angelic beings!