

SPECIAL NOTICE TO ALL WHO DENY TWO SEEDLINE, #22

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

Many may be wondering why I am so unremitting and dogged in my staunch position on Two Seedline doctrine. To make my stance perfectly clear, and so there will be absolutely no question in anyone's mind where I'm coming from on this issue, I will lay it all out before you. There were four principal men who taught Two Seedline who are now dead and are not in a position to defend themselves. These men were Bertrand L. Comparet, Wesley A. Swift, William P. Gale and Nord Davis. After their deaths, crafty distracters took it upon themselves to take cheap potshots at their teachings. Therefore, I have taken it upon myself to defend these four deceased cardinal men in the face of these unprincipled cunning deceivers. Many may condemn me for naming names and pointing fingers, but I would like to make it absolutely clear, these anti-seedliners were the first to point fingers. In many cases they didn't name names, but there was no doubt in anyone's mind to whom they were aiming their shoddy, unqualified remarks.

While I do not agree 100% with these four named principal men, nevertheless, I rate them in the high 90's. I have developed a rating system for all those who proclaim they are Israel Identity teachers. I use the 100% figure as a grade of perfect. If the doctrine of Two Seedline is denied or omitted, I subtract 30%. If the doctrine of "Israel only" is not taught, but replaced with the doctrine of "universalism", I subtract another 30%. Then, if the "no devil" doctrine is promoted, I subtract another 30%. As you can distinctly perceive, I do not give some of those misleading con-artists a very high rating. And if the doctrine of "dispensationalism" is advanced, I would give an even lower score. Of all the false teachers, I would rate Stephen E. Jones the lowest on this scale, for he fosters "anti-seedline", "universalism" and "no devil." While Stephen E. Jones currently holds top position for teaching false doctrine in this category, there are others who are trying to surpass him in all three of these erroneous concepts. Since Pete Peters has recently refuted the "no devil" doctrine, I have raised him from 10% up to 40%, but in my book he still has a long way to go. For anyone who wishes to borrow this rating system for themselves, please feel free to do so.

We are told in Matthew 24:14 that "this gospel of the kingdom should be preached in all the world for a witness unto all [Israel] nations; and then shall the end come." You will notice that it was to be a "witness", not a mass conversion. If you get weary telling the Israel Identity message, only to be scoffed at in return, don't give up hope for it's our duty to continue to do so. Galatians 6:9 says, "let us not be weary in

well doing.” If someone then gets all bent-out-of-shape because of the true message, simply go on and witness to someone else.

The witness of that “gospel of the kingdom” starts with the story of Genesis 3:15. Anyone trying to witness to the Gospel without the truth of Two Seedline simply is not proclaiming the entire Gospel, and thus it becomes “another gospel” (Galatians 1:8). After reading this passage, it becomes quite apparent that proclaiming the Gospel without the *protevangelium* (first gospel) is rather serious. The antichrist, anti-seedliners will always quote Genesis 4:1 without regard to Genesis 4:25 and 5:3, and will usually say: “See there?, Cain was Adam’s son.” Most good Hebrew students recognize there is a problem with Genesis 4:1, and indicate there must be some kind of omission or gloss involved. The *Targum of Jonathan* to Genesis 4:1: “**And Adam knew his wife Eve, who was pregnant by the Angel Sammael, and she conceived and bare Cain; and he was like the heavenly beings, and not like earthly beings, and she said, I have acquired a man, the Angel of the Lord.**” From this evidence, it would appear there is an omission from the text.

The antichrist, anti-seedliners usually read only one verse at a time, and in the process, miss the overall picture. Had they ever read and studied Genesis 4:25 and 5:3 in their proper context, they couldn’t have made that error. Let’s now examine these two passages in detail, and it will become quite evident that Cain was not Adam’s son. Genesis 4:25 says: “**And Adam knew his wife again; and she bare a son, and called his name Seth: For Elohim, saith she, hath appointed me another seed instead of Abel, whom Cain slew.**” From this, there is no other alternative but to recognize that Seth was a replacement for Abel, not Cain. But this is not the end of the story!

Now, let’s read Genesis 5:3 in its proper context: “**And Adam lived an hundred and thirty years, and begat a son in his own likeness, after his image; and called his name Seth.**” Why would the writer of Genesis go to all the bother of pointing out in a **double** manner indicating that Seth was the “likeness” and “image” of Adam? The word “likeness” is the Hebrew word #1823, and means: resemblance, model, shape, manner, similitude and fashion. The word “image” is the Hebrew word #6754, and means: shadow, shade, phantom, illusion, resemblance and a representative figure. From this description, we can see that Seth was both the physical spitting image of Adam, and also had his mental characteristics and mannerisms. If we take it one step further and accept the fact that Seth was a replacement for Abel, we can only conclude that Abel was also the “likeness” and “image” of Adam! How can the antichrist, anti-seedliners overlook this immensely important Scriptural testimony? Yet they do, and exalt themselves in the process. I would challenge everyone of the antichrist, anti-seedliners to show any Biblical evidence that Cain was the “likeness” and “image” of Adam! They can’t; there isn’t any! I refer to them as “antichrist, anti-seedliners” because if there was no physical seed of the serpent to bruise the heel of Messiah, then we have no Redemption. In denying the bruising, they are also denying that He came **in the flesh**, *was bruised*, died **in the flesh** and was resurrected **in the flesh** on the third day, and ascended into heaven **in the flesh**. To deny one is to deny

all, and therefore “antichrist.” This is a very serious position for the antichrist, anti-seedliners to take.

At Genesis 4:26, we are told, “then began men to call upon the name of YHWH.” Never are we told it was the nature of Cain to worship our Almighty Father. In fact, the record shows quite the opposite, and is quite evident among Cain’s descendants (the “Jews”) of today. They never have, and they never will. Therefore, Abel and Seth were born of the Spirit, and Cain wasn’t, for John 3:6 states: “That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit.” Cain, being a half-breed, obstructed the ingress of the Spirit. Abel and Seth, along with all their descendants, were spirit-men like their father Adam (check Genesis 2:7). Here again, Abel and Seth were born according to YHWH’s Law, kind after kind. Cain was not purely Adam-kind. Not only were Abel and Seth the image of Adam, but Adam was the image of YHWH; Genesis 1:26. Therefore, it is blasphemous to even intimate that Adam was Cain’s father. Yet the antichrist, anti-seedliners do this incessantly. By the way, the Hebrew article (*the*) is not used at Genesis 1:26 with respect to Adam, but (*the*) is used in verse 27. It is only proposed in verse 26 to create Adam in YHWH’s image, but in verse 27 it becomes an established fact and he is called “the man”, or Adam, #120. There are many unqualified, alleged authorities going around Israel Identity spouting all kinds of nonsensical refuse, and don’t even know the use of the Hebrew or Greek article. Col. John R. Niemela Ret. is a case in point teaching his “no devil” doctrine. Whether it is a real devil or not depends, in most instances, on the Hebrew or Greek article.

Ever since the birth of Cain, his descendants have been both murderers and liars. This was not the true nature of either Abel or Seth. Adam’s descendants have to be taught to act like Cain, and Cain is an effective teacher.

The Bible Knowledge Commentary by Walvoord and Zuck, while wanting in many areas, does have a striking remark on Genesis 3:15, volume 1, page 33: “... God said there would be a perpetual struggle between satanic forces and mankind. It would be **between Satan and the woman**, and their respective **offspring**, or ‘seeds.’ The ‘offspring’ of the woman was Cain, then all humanity [sic. Adam-kind] at large, and then Christ and those collectively in Him ...” It can readily be seen that Walvoord and Zuck are teaching Two Seedline, and that their position is superior to that of the anti-seedliners. Remarkably, they point out that Cain is from the seed of the woman rather than Adam. At least Walvoord and Zuck admit there are **two** “seeds” and that those “seeds” represent two kinds of “offspring in a perpetual struggle.”

By the antichrist, anti-seedliners taking the position that Cain was Adam’s son, they imply that Cain was a brother to Yahshua. That also suggests that Cain was in the image of Adam. By this, they make our Messiah a congenital liar and a murderer as are the descendants of Cain. The twofold image of Adam’s descendants are both physical and mental. Those antichrist, anti-seedliners really don’t stop and consider the horrible consequences of their flawed thesis. They keep digging themselves into a pit of their own making. The definition of “congenital” means “existing from birth.” That’s the true meaning of John 8:44! Therefore, all the descendants of Cain are “congenital” liars and murderers, and Yahshua didn’t make any exceptions when He accused them in

Matthew 23:35. But the antichrist, anti-seedliners are insistent on hanging on to their old, decrepit, insubstantial, churchianity teachings.

I need to repeat how nefarious a position the antagonists of the **two-seeds** of Genesis 3:15 are demanding! By making Cain the full brother of Abel and Seth, they imply the Messiah was in the same image as Cain; implying that Messiah was also a congenital liar and murderer. Additionally, if such a thing were true, that would make our Messiah equally responsible for all the murders of the prophets since Abel (Matthew 23:35; Luke 11:51). The anti-seedliners, in general, believe that Cain had a choice in the matter of murdering Abel (Genesis 4:7). But if one will check the words “sin” “lieth” and “door” of that verse, one will discover it is speaking of conception and birth through the birth canal “door.” The problem with the antichrist, anti-seedliners is they are still reading the Bible through churchianity glasses. They read John 3:3 as being regenerated by the spirit (born again) rather than to be born of the Spirit from above (or born of the chosen race). Here again, they try to make it man’s choice as they also claim in the case of Cain. They read Leviticus 19:2, where it says “Ye shall be holy: for I YHWH your Elohim am holy”, to mean some kind of spiritual perfection rather than to keep one’s racial-line pure (whereas “holy” simply means set apart). They read the parable of the wheat and the tares, and make the tares all those who haven’t “chosen Jesus Christ as their personal savior”, rather than the wheat being the descendants of Seth and the tares the descendants of Cain. They try to make man the one doing the choosing, when John 15:16 proclaims: “Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you ...” Cain really didn’t have a choice in the matter, for he would simply follow his satanic-genetic temperament. They suggest that **a seed can choose to be wheat or tare**. The next time you plant your garden, ask each individual seed what kind of fruit or vegetable plant it wants to be, and see how far you get. (Shades of Bozo the clown!)

Also, if Cain and Yahshua our Messiah had been in the same image, as the anti-seedliners imply, then our Savior would be in the same category as a “fugitive” and a “vagabond.” Not only that, but all the Apostles would also have been fugitives and vagabonds. Cain was cursed to be both a fugitive and a vagabond. The meanings of these two words in English are very similar to those of the Hebrew and Greek. Also, Cain was driven out from the presence of YHWH into the land of Nod. The term “Nod” simply means “exile”, (Probably into the land of nowhere). Who are the people living in “exile” in all the countries of the world, having no place to call home? Who are the vagabonds of the world, and why aren’t they White like the descendants of Adam? Oh, there are some who are almost White! This alone should prove, beyond all doubt, that Cain was not a full-blooded brother to Abel or Seth, therefore not in the spitting image of Adam!

In the English, similar to Hebrew and Greek, vagabond means: 1.- wandering from place to place without any settled home or habitation; nomadic: *a vagabond tribe* (a person without a fixed home or abode who has no apparent means of support). 2.- leading an unsettled or carefree life (an itinerant beggar or thief; a vagrant; a tramp). 3.- a wandering rogue; drifter (worthless; shiftless; good-for-nothing; rascal; an idle, disreputable, or shiftless person). 4.- of, pertaining to, or characteristic of a vagabond: *vagabond habits* (aimless; drifting; unstable; driven to and fro; strolling about; shiftless).

5.- having an uncertain or irregular course of direction (unpredictable; aimlessly following an irregular course or path; drifting): *as vagabond voyage*. 6.- a person usually without a permanent home, who wanders from place to place; nomad. 7.- an idle wanderer without a permanent home or visible means of support; tramp; vagrant; a scamp. 8.- a carefree, worthless, or irresponsible person; a rogue ...

We cannot overlook the term “vagrant” as it’s a variant of vagabond: 1.- a person who wanders about idly and has no permanent home or employment; vagabond, tramp (in other words, someone who is allergic to a good day’s work). 2.- *Law*. an idle person without visible means of support, as a tramp or beggar. 3.- a person who wanders from place to place; wanderer; rover. 4.- wandering idly without a permanent home or employment; living in vagabondage: *vagrant beggars*. 5.- of, or pertaining to, or characteristic of a vagrant: *the vagrant life*. 6.- wandering or roaming from place to place; nomadic. 7.- (of plants) staggering in growth. 8.- not fixed or settled, especially in course; moving hither and thither: *a vagrant leaf blown in the wind* ...

Not only was Cain to be a “vagabond”, but also a “fugitive”: 1.- one who is fleeing, from prosecution (from legal punishment by the avenger of blood), intolerable circumstances, etc.; a runaway: *a fugitive from justice*. 2.- having taken flight, or run away: a fugitive slave. 3.- fleeting; transitory, elusive. 4.- *Fine arts*. changing color as a result of exposure to light and chemical substances present in the atmosphere, in other pigments, or in the medium. (Cain’s ever elusive descendants also change color and behavior like a chameleon).

Cain and his descendants are all of these things and more. To imply that Cain’s and Seth’s descendants are alike in “image” and nature is to accuse the Messiah of having these same qualities. Reread these characteristics, and reconsider what the anti-seedliners are accusing the descendants of Adam, Abel and Seth of being. They should be ashamed of themselves! And, yes, Abel had descendants through Seth. The anti-seedliners fail to see that Cain and his descendants are yet to pay the **full legal price** for the murder of Abel, via Seth, via Yahshua (the principal avenger of blood), and his brethren. Therefore, to hate Two Seedline is to hate YHWH and His cause (1st John 3:8). All the anti-seedliners hate the Two Seedline doctrine with a passion! In addition to this, it should be mentioned there is no statute of limitation for the revenge of Abel’s blood which is still crying from the ground.

VAGRANTS vs. “MOVE NO MORE”

We should never have difficulty identifying Cain’s descendants by their lifestyle, for they are cursed to never find a home. On the other hand, Adam’s descendants through Seth, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob are promised to be planted and MOVE NO MORE! (2 Samuel 7:10). Today all one need do is take a look around the world and observe who are moving and who are not moving — who have a land of their own and who do not. The anti-seedliners are totally oblivious to this fact — living in their own little fanciful 2 by 4 dream-world. MY GOD!, WAKE UP!, YOUR HOUSE IS ON FIRE! And the anti-seedliners echo back, “Oh no it isn’t — it’s all a matter of the ‘flesh’.” In a way

they are correct, for Cain's descendants are of the "world" and Adam's descendants are of the "Spirit" (John 8:23; 15:19; 1 John 4:4-6).

ONE WAY ENMITY

Of all the preposterous allegations put forth by the anti-seedliners, examine their claim there is only one party to have enmity (hatred) in Genesis 3:15. They intimate this by saying that the only seed of the woman is, as they call Him, "Jesus Christ", and that is the only seed there is in that verse. They then claim that the "seed of the serpent" doesn't exist, or is of "the flesh", or is "figurative." Had they read the entire chapter, especially verse 14, they would have discovered the "thee" and "thy" in verse 15 is the "serpent" of verse 14. The problem seems to be: the anti-seedliners can only read one verse at a time, and a short verse at that (shades of the second grade). They completely overlook the word "between" as if it wasn't even there! The word "between" is derived from *twain* and *twixt* in Old English. The base word is *bin* in several languages and is *bane* in Hebrew (see *dwo* in *The American Heritage Dictionary* under "Indo-European Roots", page 1514). Also see #996 in the Hebrew in *Strong's* or *Gesenius'*. It should be noted that #996 is used four times to separate between the two in verse 15a, (two times as "between" and two times as "and"), but that doesn't seem to mean anything to the anti-seedliners. After all, it takes two to hate (the hater and the hated), except and unless one hates himself. In English, "between" means: (1) used to show two things considered together, (2) in the space separating, (3) used to indicate a comparison or choice, and, (4) in an intervening space or interval. Therefore, each meaning demands at least two things. Likewise, the "between" in Genesis 3:15 requires two entities, and they are the **seed of the serpent** and the **seed of the woman**. Thus the term "Two Seedline" is very appropriate.

In addition to this, the Hebrew word #995 is the root word for #996 (*interval, space between*) and it says in *Gesenius'*: "To separate ... *to stand apart, to be distinct ... to be separate and distinct ... to be easily distinguished, distinct, manifest ...*" What is there about it, that we don't understand there are **TWO** separate seeds, not one?!?! Well, I guess, at least according to the anti-seedliners, that that single-seed was "Christ", and He hated Himself! Poor old "God"; can't do anything right! Or must He first consult the single seedliners for their own private, all-wise interpretation as to the meaning of His Word?!?! (Conceit unlimited!)

TWO SEEDLINE, A HATED DOCTRINE

Because White Adamites are by nature a peace-loving people, the idea of a WAR between two genetic peoples is repulsive. That is until they understand there is an enemy who wants to destroy their family and everything they stand for. Because the Adamites are sheep rather than wolves, they **think** the wolves have the same values as sheep. The WAR in Genesis 3:15 is a WAR between the sheep and the wolves. The sheep are the true Israelites, and the wolves are the impostor Israelis known today as "Jews." Actually, for the most part, today's "Jews" are the old Canaanites that occupied the land of Canaan before the true Israelites invaded that area under the command of Joshua. With their characteristic sheep nature, they didn't complete their

Yahweh-commanded job of destroying the Canaanite wolves, and they still cling to that same weak position today.

Since Yahweh **came in the flesh**, as our Redeemer, the hatred of Genesis 3:15 for the descendants of Cain came with Him also. Therefore, the single seedliners are at WAR with Messiah Himself, and shaking their fist in His face. They have wittingly, or unwittingly, joined the enemy. They are like the “fundamentalists” who scream and denounce the ADL, and then turn around and mollycoddle the “Jews” who run it and declare they are “God’s chosen people.” Until the anti-seedliners discard their single-seed theory, they will be of no use to the Almighty or themselves. In fact, with their untenable position, they become traitors to His cause. The only way one can line up with Yahweh is to love those whom He loves and hate whom He hates! The antichrist, anti-seedliners hate the message of Genesis 3:15, and by doing that, become haters of Yahweh Himself! Luke 6:46 says: “And why call ye me, Master, Master, and do not the things which I say?” They deny His proclamation of Genesis 3:15, and teach the opposite!

The very first thing the antichrist, anti-seedliners do is accuse the Two Seedliners of dividing the brethren, when in fact they are the ones who are guilty of that crime. They are quick to quote Proverbs 6:16 & 19: “16 These six *things* doth YHWH hate: yea, seven *are* an abomination unto him ... 19 ... and he that soweth discord among brethren.” The anti-seedliners would do well to read the first part of verse 19 which states: “A false witness *that* speaketh lies ...”

There is only one kind of disciple spreading the Master’s teachings: those who gather, while others scatter, (Matthew 12:30 & Luke 11:23). These verses state: “He that is not with me is against me; and he that gathereth not with me scattereth abroad.” Those who deny the Two Seedlines of Genesis 3:15 are among those who “gathereth not”, but “scattereth.” I realize that this is a very serious charge to make against the anti-seedliners, but they have actually joined themselves with the enemy. They are undermining the Kingdom rather than building it. They really should read Joshua 5:13; I Kings 18:21; 1 Chronicles 12:17-18; Matthew 6:24; Mark 9:40; Luke 9:50; John 8:34-44; 11:52; 15:23; Romans 6:16; 2 Corinthians 6:15-16; 1 John 2:19; 3:7-8; Revelation 3:15-16.

I could name all those who shake their fist at the Two Seedline doctrine, but if you’ve read the first twenty-one articles I have written entitled *Special Notice To All Who Deny Two Seedline*, you already know who they are. If you’re an anti-seedliner, don’t feel bad if I have not mentioned your name. I apologize if I have missed naming any of the antichrist, anti-seedliners.

Again, I would point out, I am defending four principal men; Bertrand L. Comparet, Wesley A. Swift, William P. Gale and Nord Davis, who taught Two Seedline doctrine and who are now dead and unable to defend themselves against the onslaught of the antichrist, anti-seedliners. Therefore, we Two Seedliners cannot promote or fellowship with such ministries who continue to defame them, for in doing so, we would become part and parcel of their misrepresentations of Scripture.