

THE PREMEDITATED MURDER OF YAHWEH IN THE FLESH, # 1

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

At first I was going to title this paper *The Premeditated Murder Of The Christ*, but on second thought I decided to title it with a clear description of what it really was – deicide. Inasmuch as Yahshua the Christ was Yahweh in Adam flesh, it was the murder of Yahweh Himself! I know that this is an extraordinarily serious charge, but it was an immensely heinous crime. We are now going to endeavor to determine who, and under what circumstances that crime was committed. Like with all criminal investigation, one must start at the beginning to get a true perspective of the picture in order to reconstruct the crime scene and establish a motive for the crime.

The very first Scripture which predicts the Crucifixion of Yahweh in the flesh is Genesis 3:14-15. Not only does this passage name the parties to be involved, but the motivating factors which would eventually bring the prophecy of Genesis 3:14-15 to pass:

“ 14 And Yahweh Elohim said unto the serpent, Because thou hast done this, thou *art* cursed above all cattle, and above every beast of the field; upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life: 15 And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.”

What is apparent from this passage are two separate seedlines (or families) and a deep enmity (hatred) between them. But the main premise we must conclude with here is the fact that it would be the seed (children) of the serpent who would “bruise His (Yahshua's) heel.” Although this bruising did in fact cause death, Yahshua had the power to lay down His life and bring Himself back to life again. Nevertheless, it was premeditated murder on the part of the serpent's children. Now that we understand the parties involved and that the motive was a deep hatred, let's now leave the scene in Eden and go 5500 years later to Judaea, where the final plot was coming to a head.

It seems that almost everyone has completely overlooked the political environment which brought on the final resolution for the premeditated murder of Yahweh in the flesh! It's spelled out so clearly in Scripture, we have no excuse for not comprehending that conspiratorial plot. There is so much utter rot written on this subject by so-called “authorities” that it is simply amazing how they come up with all their

convoluted and contrived ideas. But if one is void of the knowledge of some of the factors involved, he is destined to be wrongly persuaded by those who have formed various flawed premises. You will begin to see what I mean as I now point out the political environment under which the children of the serpent finally decided to take our Redeemer's life:

5500 years after Eden (not Ussher's erroneous 4004 years), in Judaea, we read at John 11:49-52 the following: **"49 And one of them, named Caiaphas, being the high priest that same year, said unto them, Ye know nothing at all, 50 Nor consider that it is expedient for us, that one man should die for the people, and that the whole nation perish not. 51 And this spake he not of himself: but being high priest that year, he prophesied that Yahshua should die for that nation; 52 And not for that nation only, but that also he should gather together in one the children of God that were scattered abroad."**

What Caiaphas was highly implying (in fact, stating that it was imperative) is that it would be better for Yahshua (Yahweh in the flesh) to die rather than for the country of Judaea to perish from being a nation. But Caiaphas went far beyond that with the additional reasoning given by John at verse 52 above. Therefore, we must question: Who were these "children of God that were scattered abroad"? They can be no other than the 12 tribes of Israel mentioned at James 1:1: **"James, a servant of Yahweh and of the Savior Yahshua Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting."** In other words, Caiaphas was afraid that the Herod controlled nation of Judaea would be overthrown by Yahshua and that He would additionally re-gather the lost tribes that had gone into the Assyrian and Babylonian captivities. This whole plot against Yahshua was to thwart any re-assembling of the true tribes of Israel.

Yahshua had, just prior to this directive by Caiaphas, raised Lazarus from the dead, and we see at John 11:45-48 the Jews were quite disturbed: **"45 Many, therefore, of the Jews [sic. true Judahites] who came unto Mary, and beheld what Yahshua did, believed in him; 46 but certain of them [impostor Judahites] went away unto the Pharisees, and told them what Yahshua did; 47 the chief priests, therefore, and the Pharisees, gathered together a sanhedrim, and said, 'What may we do? because this man doth many signs? 48 if we may let him alone thus, all will believe in him; and the Romans will come, and will take away both our place and nation'."** (*Young's Literal Translation*)

The usual information one will find in the various commentaries is somewhat limited and misleading, but in the *Ante-Nicene Fathers* there are loads of data on Caiaphas and his father-in-law Annas, and there is nothing of a favorable nature mentioned in the latter. Caiaphas is seldom named alone in the *Ante-Nicene Fathers* without mention of his father-in-law. I found no evidence that Caiaphas was a Levitical priest, but was rather a political appointee to that office by Rome.

Eusebius speaks of this in his *The Church History* 1:6, and my translation is by Paul L. Maier, pages 34-35: "When the line of Jewish rulers ceased, the orderly succession of high priests from generation to generation fell into instant confusion. The reliable Josephus reports that Herod, once made king by the Romans, no longer appointed high priests of the ancient line but obscure sorts instead, a practice followed

by his son Archelaus and the Roman governors after him when they took over the government of the Jews. The same writer reports that Herod was the first to lock up the sacred vestment of the high priest and keep it under his own seal rather than priestly control, as did his successor Archelaus and the Romans after him.”

Not only this, but once Herod took power he attempted to destroy all of Israel’s genealogical records *ibid.* 1:7, page 37: “... So Herod, with no Israelite ancestry and pained by his base origins, burned the genealogical records, thinking he would appear of noble birth if no one were able to trace his bloodline from public documents. A few, however, carefully kept private records of their own, either remembering the names or finding them in copies, and took pride in preserving the memory of their aristocratic birth ...”

At this point we are only getting a scant start on the evidence which establishes the condemnation for the murder of Yahweh in the flesh. Thus far we can see this whole thing developing in complete agreement with Genesis 3:15, inasmuch as the serpent would attempt to destroy the entire seed of the woman, and not Yahshua alone as some promote. We see in this Caiaphas a plot not only to usurp the power in Judaea, but also to kill the one who would gather together all of the lost tribes. He said to the rest of the “sanhedrim” [sanhedrin], “You idiots, you don’t understand anything at all. It is better that this man die than lose our grasp on this nation. If we let him live, he’ll replace us, and we will be left out in the cold. Further, if we let him live, he will gather all of the lost Israelite tribes to fight against us!”

Thus, these “obscure sorts”, as Eusebius calls them, knew exactly what they were doing in their plot to crucify our Redeemer! And if you’re beginning to grasp this picture, you’ll commence to understand why it was necessary for Yahweh to bring His Israelite Roman army (as prophesied at Daniel 9:26 under the phrase “the people of the prince”) to tread on the serpent’s (Satan’s) head! (Romans 16:20). I’m not sure about your Bible, but the cross-reference at Romans 16:20 in mine takes me back to Genesis 3:15, and Paul was speaking to the Romans at the time.

0We are now just beginning to see where the land lays, and where all the checkers on the checkerboard are located. We will now take a walk through Scripture on this topic and go into greater detail as this premeditated murder of Yahweh in the flesh developed. It’s a long topic, and I am not sure, at this time, how many brochures it will take to cover it in its entirety. Once we are finished with this thing, you’ll grasp the foul-smelling garbage that Ted R. Weiland and Matthew Janzen are disseminating on this subject. And, of course, as the old song goes “where he leads I’ll follow”, and Janzen is zealously sniffing at Weiland’s scent.

This presentation will not be an attempt to bend or twist everything out-of-shape as these two do. It’s probably some of that churchianity they haven’t disposed of from their system. Because of Mel Gibson’s movie *The Passion of the Christ*, this has become a hot topic, and with this paper I’m not about to let it cool down any. It’s too bad that he yielded to the “Jews” and edited out the most important lines like “his blood be on us and our children.” The main purpose of this thesis is to show that it wasn’t Pilate or the Roman soldiers who were guilty for His Crucifixion, but quite the opposite.

This study is going to be presented commentary style: that is by reading short passages followed by comment. We are going to start with John, the disciple who was really able to describe everything with powerful and unmistakable words. Because of the various legal maneuvers that were taking place leading up to the Passion, we will have to follow each detail every step of the way. By doing it that way, it will become quite evident who the real murderers were and still are.

We need to consider that, at the time-frame the Crucifixion took place, the lost tribes of Israel, both Israel and Judah, had already been in Assyrian and Babylonian captivities for 550 to 720 years. Therefore, it is quite ridiculous to accuse the Israelites for the murder of Yahshua as both Mr. (not Evangelist) Ted R. Weiland and Matthew Janzen do! When those captivities took place, it created a population vacuum and the Assyrians repopulated that area of Palestine with strange, non-Israelite peoples. Now if we don't get these things straight in our minds, we'll arrive at several incorrect conclusions. These faulty premises are what they are calling today "no-brainers." And on the subject of the responsibility for the Crucifixion, Weiland and Janzen are a couple of no-brainers. No-brainers, because the overwhelming majority of Israel was not at the scene of the crime! And when I say "overwhelming", I mean 99.9999999% of them were nowhere near that area! And a majority of the few true Israelites who were still in Palestine at that time didn't have anything to do with the Crucifixion either. So you can add another four (9999s) to the above figure.

The 18th Chapter Of The Book Of John

We're going to get down to business with this subject, rather than playing tiddlywinks. Inasmuch as Mel Gibson, with his movie, has created quite a stir among the "Jews", along with the various sects of churchianity, let's continue to fan the flame! **" 1 When Yahshua had spoken these words, he went forth with his disciples over the brook Cedron, where was a garden, into the which he entered, and his disciples. 2 And Judas also, which betrayed him, knew the place: for Yahshua oftentimes resorted thither with his disciples."**

With this passage, the stage is being set for the playing out of the drama. No doubt, this was a common meeting place where the 12 had gathered together often. Judas, knowing exactly where it was located, entered the scene ready to play his part.

" 3 Judas then, having received a band of men and officers from the chief priests and Pharisees, cometh thither with lanterns and torches and weapons."

It is important that we take special notice of this last verse, for Judas received this band of men and officers not from Pilate, but from the chief priests and the Pharisees. These were not Roman soldiers, but Herodian soldiers. It should further be remembered that of the 12 disciples that Yahshua chose, Judas was a "devil", related to the serpent seedline of Cain.

" 4 Yahshua therefore, knowing all things that should come upon him, went forth, and said unto them, Whom seek ye? 5 They answered him, Yahshua of Nazareth. Yahshua saith unto them, I am he. And Judas also, which betrayed him, stood with them."

Special note should be taken with whom Judas took sides, and it wasn't Pilate nor was it with the Roman soldiers. These rather belonged to the Herodian "Jews."

" 6 As soon then as he had said unto them, I am he, they went backward, and fell to the ground."

These men that were falling backward to the ground were none of Pilate's officers, nor were they Roman soldiers. Rather they were Temple soldiers. This would have stopped an ordinary man. But these were not ordinary, but men of the satanic seedline.

" 7 Then asked he them again, Whom seek ye? And they said, Yahshua of Nazareth. 8 Yahshua answered, I have told you that I am he: if therefore ye seek me, let these go their way:"

Here it should be noted that the Temple guards had no idea who Yahshua was, and the only way they would find out is if Judas would point Him out. But instead of putting His disciples as risk, He identified himself to them. Yahshua well knew the satanic nature of these Herodian "Jews" and how they would stop at nothing to accomplish their goals.

" 9 That the saying might be fulfilled, which he spake, Of them which thou gavest me have I lost none. 10 Then Simon Peter having a sword drew it, and smote the high priest's servant, and cut off his right ear. The servant's name was Malchus."

Verse 9 here is interesting, as the cross-reference takes us to John 17:12 where Yahshua explains that He had lost none of His disciples except "the son of perdition", or Judas, "that scripture might be fulfilled." And, as stated at Acts 1:20, Judas' position was appointed to another, and I believe it was Paul rather than the Matthias at Acts 1:26. Matthias was appointed by men, but Saul of Tarsus was chosen by Yahshua Himself. Then at verse 10, in the incident where Peter cut off the ear of one of the high priest's servants, it wasn't that he had cut off his ear, but because it wasn't yet time for Yahshua's servants to fight! The main matter that we should take into consideration here is the fact that Peter didn't cut off the ear of Pilate, nor did he cut off the ear of a Roman soldier! We at least have to give Peter credit that he knew who the enemy was which is contrary to today's advocacy that the Edomite-Jews are "God's chosen people."

" 11 Then said Yahshua unto Peter, Put up thy sword into the sheath: the cup which my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it?"

Yahshua was telling Peter there was something of greater importance at hand than chopping off an Edomite-Jew's ear. Again, Yahshua indicates to Peter that his use of the sword is needed but premature. At least you notice that Yahshua didn't tell Peter to go down to the nearest Roman agency and register his sword.

12 Then the band and the captain and officers of the Jews took Yahshua, and bound him,

Who's doing what to whom? You will notice that neither Pilate nor the Roman soldiers are mentioned here. It seems that there are some who have a difficult time reading "Jew" in this verse, and yet others have a hard time comprehending that these "Jews" are not of the Tribe of Judah, but rather a hodgepodge mixture of various

Canaanite tribes (including the Kenite tribe descended from Cain) and Edomites (also descended from Cain through Esau's Hittite wives)! Without any Kenites being involved, there would have been no way for the "seed of the serpent" of Genesis 3:15 to "bruise the heel of our Messiah"! Had that been the case, there would have been no reason for Yahshua to have reprimanded Peter for using his sword. For if Yahshua did not die at the hands of the "seed (children) of the serpent", His Crucifixion was in vain. Yahshua told these same "Jews" they were responsible for all the murders on the earth, from Abel to John the Baptist's father. Would someone please give me an answer: Who else but Cain murdered Abel?