

# TWO SEEDLINE TAUGHT IN DEAD SEA SCROLLS, #1

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries  
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830  
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571  
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

Should you desire more insight on the Scriptures, I highly recommend you investigate the Dead Sea Scrolls. You should first study the history of how and when they were discovered. This can be done by reading the introductions of several books that have been published on this subject. One such book I suggest for this is *Understanding The Dead Sea Scrolls* edited by Hershel Shanks © 1992, published by Random House, NY. Though Shanks is a "Jew", the book is a collection from several Dead Sea Scroll authors. It is also necessary to understand who wrote them and copied most of the books of that pre-New Testament period including many of the books we now have in our present Bibles. Additionally, we must analyze the text and context of the Dead Sea Scrolls themselves. Wherever they already agree with our present Bibles, we must be thankful, but where there are discrepancies, we must resolve them. One such discrepancy is found in 1 Samuel 11:1-3. The scroll in question is designated 4QSam<sup>a</sup>, and was found in cave #4. The following represents an omission at the beginning of that chapter. Please get your Bible and turn to 1 Samuel 11; then read the following in italics; then continue reading in your Bible from verses 1-10, omitting the word "Then" in verse 1:

*"[Na]hash, king of the children of Ammon, sorely oppressed the children of Gad and the children of Reuben, and he gouged out a[ll] their right eyes and struck ter[r]or and dread in Israel. There was not left one among the children of Israel bey[ond] the Jordan who]se right eye was no[t put o]ut by Naha[sh king] of the children of Ammon; except that seven thousand men [fled from] the children of [A]mmon and entered [J]abesh-Gilead. About a month later ..."* (Now read verses 1-10, and also check *Josephus' Antiquities* 6:5:1):

For many of you this newly discovered evidence will pique your interest. Before you are aware of it you will be deep in research on the Dead Sea Scrolls while others will shun this testimony, fearing it will undermine their mental equilibrium. Unfortunately, new insight is not for everyone, and we will always have people among us who will fight anything they cannot comprehend, or who are impervious to new discoveries of old data. Many suchlike are among the anti-seedliners.

When new evidence was brought forth from the Aramiac Targums confirming an omission in Genesis 4:1 (similar to that of 1 Samuel 11 in the Dead Sea Scrolls), Ted R. Weiland, a caustic and unyielding antichrist anti-seedliner, pronounced all such

evidence as “Babylonian influenced.” When the Targum evidence is added to Genesis 4:1 in italics it would read thusly:

**“ And Adam knew his wife Eve, *who was pregnant by Sammael [Satan], and she conceived and bare Cain, and he was like the heavenly beings, and not like earthly beings, and she said, I have gotten a man from the angel of the Lord.*”**

The antichrist anti-seedliners point to 2 Corinthians 11:3, and by taking that verse out of context will claim she was only “mentally seduced.” Genesis 3:13 asks the question: “What *is* this *that* thou hast **done**?” Had it been a mental crime the question would have been, “What is this that thou hast thought?” The word “done” in that verse is #6213, and in both *Strong’s* and *Gesenius’* has nothing to do with anything mental, but everything to do with “to produce or create.” In fact, *Gesenius’* includes a definition with sexual connotations:

“PIEL, *to work*, or to press immodestly the breasts of a woman, i. q. ... Ezek. 23:3, 8, and in Kal [Ezek. 23:] verse 21 ... So Gr. ποιεῖν, and Lat. *facere, perficere, conficere mulierem*, as a euphemism for sexual intercourse, see Fessellii Advers. Sacra, lib. ii. cap. 23.” [*Emphasis mine*]

Hebrew scholars have been aware for years that there is a problem with Genesis 4:1. *The Interpreter’s Bible*, a twelve volume collaborative work of 36 “consulting editors” plus 124 other “contributors”, makes the following observation on this verse, vol. 1, page 517:

“Cain seems originally to have been the ancestor of the Kenites ... The meaning of the name is ‘metalworker’ or ‘smith’; here, however, it is represented as a derivation of a word meaning ‘acquire’, ‘get’ — one of the popular etymologies frequent in Genesis — hence the mother’s words I have gotten a man. ↔ From the Lord (KJV) is a rendering, following the LXX and Vulg., of *’eth Yahweh*, which is literally, ‘with Yahweh’, and so unintelligible here ↔ ([the words] ‘the help of’ [RSV] is not in the Hebrew). It seems probable that *’eth* should be *’oth* —so, ‘the mark of Yahweh’ —and that the words are a gloss ...” [*Underlined emphasis mine*]

## **DEAD SEA SCROLLS FOUND AT UNUSUAL TIME**

The timing of the finding of the Dead Sea Scrolls was indeed quite unique’ and too much so to be a mere coincidence. The window of opportunity for their discovery could only have been by the direction of the Almighty Himself. For those of us who can remember, the Bible at that time was under vicious attack, and the scrolls served as a bulwark against that assault. But the significance of the timing of their discovery goes even farther than that —much farther. It was in the spring of the year 1947, as two shepherd lads were grazing their mixed flocks of sheep and goats along the foot of the crumbling cliffs that line the Dead Sea in the vicinity of Qumrân, that reportedly one of the animals happened to stray from them. One of the boys by the name of Muhammed *ed-Dîd* casually threw a stone into a small circular opening in the cliff face and heard a shattering sound instead of a solid smack. A few days later the boys returned to investigate the unusual incident and found some of the scrolls. After a series of accidental happenstances these scrolls ended up in the hands of the Syrian Orthodox Metropolitan of Jerusalem, Athanasius Yeshue Samuel. After a period of trying to have

the scrolls evaluated and authenticated they were finally brought to the American School of Oriental Research in February, 1948, where competent scholars, one being W. F. Albright, examined them. The timing was such that the scrolls were found and evaluated shortly before the counterfeit, so-called, "State of Israel" was established on 14 May, 1948. The question naturally arises: If the "Jews" are God's chosen people as they falsely claim, why didn't the Almighty allow them to be instrumental in finding the scrolls? It is obvious, from the account of their discovery, that the Almighty prevented them the privilege as they have no legitimate claim to their origin. Not only that, there was not one "Jew" on the original editing staff of the Dead Sea Scrolls! However, that would change after the Six-day War in 1967, nineteen years later, when finally the "Jews" got their hands on them. (And when the term "Jew" is used, it means the "Jewish" proselytes who were not purely Judah by birth.)

But this is only a later development of the account, for we need to go back to the year 1917 for a more important element of the story. Once we resolve the relevance of **1917** in this chain of events, we will see clearly how all this fits together. We must first take into consideration that all the tribes of Israel were given a "seven times" punishment period, or 2,520 years. As each tribe was taken into captivity at different dates, therefore each individual tribe's punishment ended in a corresponding manner at the end of its 2,520 years. Jerusalem was no exception. The starting date for Jerusalem's 2,520 years of punishment was November/December 604 B.C., and is called "the times of the Gentiles" in Luke 21:24. If one will check the history of Jerusalem from 604 B.C., they will find Jerusalem was continually under foreign powers until 1917 A.D., for a total of 2,520 years, when Palestine became a British Mandate under King George VI, a bona fide descendant of David. 1917 was the year that General Allenby captured Palestine and entered Jerusalem with true Israelite soldiers from Britain, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, and a few minor contingents of non-Israelites such as India, Egypt, Singapore, Hong Kong and the West Indies (*As Birds Flying* by Andrew Adams, page 42). King George VI lived until 1952, long enough to make a decree on Palestine which has **never** been countermanded by Queen Elisabeth II.

For documentation on King George VI's decision concerning Palestine, I will now quote from the book *Understanding The Dead Sea Scrolls*, chapter 1 by Harry Thomas Frank, page 8: "His Majesty's Foreign Office had somewhat irresponsibly decided that since the problem of Palestine could not be solved by reason they would withdraw, leaving the two sides to decide the issue by blood."

Another unusual source that correlates the 2,520 years as the "times of the Gentiles", similar to the book *As Birds Flying*, is the Jehovah's Witnesses' book, *Babylon The Great Has Fallen!*, and they have some things correct on pages 179-180, (though caution is recommended from that source): "But in a symbolic or prophetic year, the number of days is fixed at the unchanging number of 360, and each day thereof stands for a whole year. 'A day for a year, a day for a year.' —Numbers 14:34; Ezekiel 4:6.

"In the prophetic book of Revelation a thousand two hundred and sixty days are spoken of as being equal to a 'time and times and half a time', or three and a half

times. (Revelation 12:6, 14) If we divide three and a half (3.5) into a thousand two hundred and sixty days, it gives us three hundred and sixty (360) days to a 'time.'

"Accordingly, a symbolic or prophetic 'time' would Scripturally equal three hundred and sixty (360) years. If, now, three and a half symbolic 'times' amounted to 1,260 symbolic days, that is to say, 1,260 years, then twice three and a half (or seven) symbolic 'times' would be twice 1,260 years, that is to say, 2,520 years. Thus the 'seven times' that are mentioned in Daniel 4:16, 23, 25, 32 in connection with the tree dream would stand for two thousand five hundred and twenty literal years ... In the fulfillment of the greater prophetic meaning, the 'seven times' of 2,520 years began to count when Jehovah God let go the world domination as represented by his typical kingdom on earth ... By count, then, those Gentile Times, those 'appointed times of the [non-Israel] nations' ... would end 2,520 years ... about ... 1914."

They're 3 three years off on their arithmetic, but one can see what is meant by the "times of the gentiles", and for 2,520 years Judaea was ruled by foreign powers. As we have already noted, something important happened in 1917, when Jerusalem was delivered to the British after the "seven times."

### **THAT BRINGS US TO WHERE WE ARE TODAY**

Some people are under the bewildered delusion that because the United Nations recognized the establishment of the **counterfeit** so-called "State of Israel" at the behest of Harry Truman that that makes them a bona fide nation of the world. Nothing could be farther from the truth. Lawfully, Palestine came under British rule under the British Mandate, and remains that way today in Yahweh's sight. That means we have two kinds of people in that area today; squatters and trespassers. On December 11, 1917, when General Allenby entered Jerusalem, after the Turks had evacuated the area and the Mayor of Jerusalem presented a letter of surrender, that completely, and Lawfully settled the matter to our present day.

To show that the British government was Lawfully in control, the following documentation is found in *Behind The Balfour Declaration* by Robert John, pages 32 & 74: "Letter of November 2, 1917 — the 'Balfour Declaration' — from the Foreign Secretary, A. J. Balfour, to the head of the Rothschild banking family, pledging the support of the British Government for the idea of a Jewish National Home in Palestine." In addition to that letter, an almost similar word-for-word draft was cabled by Balfour to [Colonel] House in Washington on 14 October, but with the extra passage shown here in brackets: "... Dear Lord Rothschild, ... I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet ... 'His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people [race], and will use its best endeavours to facilitate achievement of this object; it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of the existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country [by such Jews who are fully contented with their existing nationality and citizenship]'. ... I

should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.”

Let's review the directives set forth by His Majesty's Government by the above letter and cable along with the one previously cited. Both were issued in 1917, one October 14th and the other November 2nd. The first stipulation stated: “it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of the existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine.” The second condition being: “the rights and political status enjoyed in any other country [by such Jews who are fully contented with their existing nationality and citizenship].” Now have those “Jews” who eventually settled in Palestine lived up to those Lawful directives? All you have to do is watch television and you can observe they are breaking these regulations on a daily basis. After about thirty years of this defiant behavior, His Majesty's Government issued another Lawful directive, which I cited before, and will repeat here from the book *Understanding The Dead Sea Scrolls*, edited by Hershel Shanks, chapter 1, by Harry Thomas Frank, page 8: “His Majesty's Foreign Office had somewhat irresponsibly decided that since the problem of Palestine could not be solved by reason they would withdraw, leaving the two sides to decide the issue by blood.” I do not agree that this was an “irresponsible” decision on the part of His Majesty's Government, but rather a very rational one! Again, all you have to do is watch television and you can observe this directive is continually being carried out on a daily basis! Unless Queen Elisabeth II repeals it, it will continue to be effective until Messiah's return at His Second Advent (Matthew 18:18-19). Don't look for conditions to change in Palestine, even though we pump billions of dollars in that direction or have hundreds of peace conferences. What the King has decreed is what will be done come hell or high water! “Blood” is what the King decreed and “blood” is what we continue to behold on the part of both parties!

One very important aspect we should notice by the King's directives is he never decreed a State for the “Jewish race”, only a home land, so they had to pursue the objective of nationhood by a different avenue. In his book *The Zionist Connection*, Alfred M. Lilenthal says this on page 75 concerning that same situation:

“Britain as the Mandatory Power absolutely refused to participate in any implementary measures to effectuate partition. The general consensus of the Security Council indicated opposition to carrying out the General Assembly Resolution of November 29, thus in effect vitiating [impairing] partition. Unless the U. S. took some action for establishment of an administration to govern Palestine, chaos threatened.

“As the bitter battling between the Jewish Agency and the Palestine Higher Committee accelerated —the Arab states indicated they planned military intervention on May 15, the date of the Mandate's end —the U. S. proposed a temporary trusteeship, setting aside partition ‘without prejudice to the character of the eventual political settlement’, and called for a special session of the General Assembly.

“Impelled by reports from many quarters, Truman for some time had been entertaining serious private doubts, never publicly expressed, as to the sagacity and practicability of the partition decision. In a blunt report to the Security Council on February 16, 1948, the United Nations Palestine Commission pointed out that it would require ‘military force in adequate strength’ in order to be able to implement the

partition resolution. The hopes of a peaceful transfer of responsibility from the British Mandatory Power to the Arab and Jewish states had vanished when the Arab Higher Committee, the most authoritative spokesman for Palestine's Arab community, indicated they were deliberately planning 'to alter the plan by force.' The commission feared that May 15, the date set for the transfer, would usher in 'a period of uncontrolled widespread strife and bloodshed'.

From this, it should be quite obvious the so-called "transfer of power" was never Lawfully conducted, at least from the standpoint of the Almighty. Therefore the authority of the British King still stands. You should now be beginning to comprehend how critical the timing of the discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls was.

Not only was 1917 the terminal year for the "seven times", or 2,520 years, of the "treading down" of Jerusalem, but also the 1335 years of Daniel 12:12. As the Arabs use a lunar calendar, it represents lunar-years from AD 622 to AD 1917. An Egyptian coin minted in 1917 had inscribed on it in Arabic numerals the date 1335. The year 622 A.D. marked the origin of Mohammedan power. The year 1917 marked the end of Mohammedan power in Jerusalem, or the target of the prophecy.

### **DEAD SEA SCROLLS WITNESS TO TWO SEEDLINE**

In order to understand why this critical timing is so important, we must comprehend what period of time we are now in. For this we will go to Jeremiah 16:16, which states: "**Behold, I will send for many fishers, saith Yahweh, and they shall fish them; and after will I send for many hunters, and they shall hunt them from every mountain, and from every hill, and out of the holes of the rocks.**" The "fishers" mentioned there are no other than the Disciples of Messiah Himself, and those who would thereafter take the Gospel of Kinsman Redemption throughout all Israel lands. The "hunters" referred to in that passage are the archeologists who, starting in the late 1700s, would unearth the revealing evidence of the migrations of all the genuine Israel tribes from their former home, now called Palestine. Then, about the time of WW I, some gradually became aware who Israel's enemy was. That brought us into a period necessitating "**watchmen.**" The watchman's job is to identify the enemy, and that is what Two Seedline is all about! This is where it gets quite serious, for the antichrist anti-seedliners are maliciously interfering with Yahweh's watchmen on their appointed rounds. As a result, Yahweh's true watchmen not only have to identify true Israel's overt enemy, but also the incompetent, unqualified gibberish generated by the covert anti-seedliners.

The Dead Sea Scrolls, in allegory, also identify the enemy as "Belial." In addition they refer to themselves as "children of light" and allude to the enemy as "the children of darkness." One of the better editors working on the scrolls was Frank Moore Cross Jr., from which I will now quote some passages from his updated 1961 edition, *The Ancient Library Of Qumran*:

Page 77: "Apocalypticism sees world history in the grip of warring forces, God and Satan, the spirits of truth and error, light and darkness. The struggle of God with man, and of man with sin, evil, and death becomes objectified into a cosmic struggle."

Pages 92-93: “ In a world captive to the powers of darkness, salvation is the gift of God to His elect, achieved by His new creation. In the section of the Rule of the Community dealing with the doctrine of the Two Spirits (to which the sons of light and darkness are allotted from creation), we find a rigorous predestinarian formulation which teeters on the edge of determinism. And even as the predestinarian doctrine of the Essenes recalls the (milder) Pauline doctrine, so a sectarian doctrine of ‘ justification by grace ’ appears in their writings as a corollary of predestination, adumbrating [vaguely foreshadowing] the classical Pauline statement of salvation by faith.”

Pages 209-211: “ The Essene Rule also requires that one ‘ hate ’ all the ‘ children of darkness ’, even as he must ‘ love all the children of light ’ ... however, as often has been remarked, the Johannine emphasis, unlike that of the New Testament in general, is almost exclusively on love within the ‘ little flock ’; and a note of hostility toward the ‘ world ’ occasionally appears, which, while milder than the Essene exhortation ‘ to hate ’, is nevertheless reminiscent of it.

“ According to Essene doctrine, the world is in the grip of two warring spirits, created by God from the beginning: the Spirit of Truth and the Spirit of Wickedness or Perversity. The Spirit of Wickedness is none other than Belial, the ‘ Prince of Darkness ’, Satan. The Spirit of Truth is otherwise called the holy spirit (not identical with the Holy Spirit, though often hard to distinguish), the ‘ Prince of Light ’, the ‘ Angel of Truth. ’ All men have their ‘ lot ’ in one of these spirits, and thus are children of light or darkness. These two powers are locked in a titanic warfare, a struggle which mounts to a climax in the last times (compare Revelation and the War scroll). The war is waged, not only between the opposing arrays of spirits and their human hosts, but also within the heart of each ‘ son of light. ’ For the people of the scrolls the end of the war is in sight. God is about to destroy forever the rule of the Spirit of Perversion, and bring an end to all darkness and wickedness. In the Johannine literature, however, although the struggle persists, and a final Armageddon is to be fought ... ”

Then on page 211, Frank Moore Cross Jr. cites a passage from a scroll called the “ Rule of the Community ”, 1QS 3:17-23, translated thus: “ [God] created man to rule the world and He established two spirits by which (man) would walk until the time appointed for His Visitation (i.e., Last Judgment): these are the spirits of Truth and Deceit (or Wickedness). In a source of light are the origins of (the Spirit of) Truth and from a well of darkness the origins of (the Spirit of) Error. The rule of the children of righteousness is in the hand of the Prince of Light (so that) they walk in ways of light; the rule of [all] children of error is in the hand of the Angel of Darkness (so that) they walk in ways of darkness; and when any of the children of righteousness err, it is through the Angel of Darkness ... and all the spirits allotted to him (attempt to) make the children of light stumble, but the God of Israel and His Angel of Truth are a help to all sons of light.”

The problem with the Essenes during that period is the fact they were taking Scriptures that were to be fulfilled far in the future and applying them to themselves. This caused them to drift somewhat into error in some areas, but according to *Josephus’ Wars* 2:8:2, the Essenes, unlike the impostor “ Jews ”, were apparently

essentially pureblooded members of the House of Judah. It would be well to repeat that passage here:

**“ For there are three philosophical sects among the Judeans. The followers of the first of whom are the Pharisees; of the second the Sadducees; and the third sect, who pretends to a severer discipline, are called Essen[e]s. These last are Judah by birth, and seem to have a greater affection for one another than the other sects have.”**