

WHAT SCRIPTURE TEACHES ABOUT THE “UNCLEAN”

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571
E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

The subject of what is “clean” or “unclean” is of the utmost importance in Scripture, and cannot be overemphasized! Many times when the subject of the “clean” and “unclean” is brought to the fore, the full meaning is not fully comprehended by the reader.

Most well-intending but misinformed, Christians point to Acts 10:10-16 to support their insistence upon the consumption of unclean foods such as swine, where Peter had a vision, which says: “**10 And he became very hungry, and would have eaten: but while they made ready, he fell into a trance, 11 And saw heaven opened, and a certain vessel descending unto him, as it had been a great sheet knit at the four corners, and let down to the earth: 12 Wherein were all manner of fourfooted beasts of the earth, and wild beasts, and creeping things, and fowls of the air. 13 And there came a voice to him, Rise, Peter; kill, and eat. 14 But Peter said, Not so, Master; for I have never eaten any thing that is common or unclean. 15 And the voice spake unto him again the second time, What God hath cleansed, that call not thou common. 16 This was done thrice: and the vessel was received up again into heaven.**”

Three times makes this very important! You will notice here it says, “... great sheet knit at the four corners ...”. Any truly alert Bible student will recognize that the “four corners” spoken of here represent Israel as they camped in the wilderness in the formation of a square. It's not unclean animals in the sheet but unclean Israelites who were divorced from the Covenant. Once divorced, they became “not a people”, and came under the classification with unclean heathen. Then Christ, whom most people call “Jesus” but who was Yahweh in the flesh as Yahshua, came to redeem Israel back to Himself. Israel, once divorced by Old Testament law, could not be remarried to Yahweh again, except by one provision, and that being that either Israel or Yahweh must die. This was the whole purpose of the crucifixion, for upon Yahshua dying, the way was clear for Him to once again marry Israel as he had done before. Therefore, it says further, “What God hath cleansed, *that* call not thou common.” This is where Col. 2:14 comes into play: “**Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross.**”

What one must understand is that Yahweh found Israel as a virgin and married her (all 12 tribes), and later he found uncleanness in her through her unfaithfulness and

turning to idolatry – and the gross immorality to which idolatry ultimately leads, such as race-mixing or homosexuality as was practiced in Sodom. So Yahweh handed Israel a bill of divorce, Jer. 3:8: “**And I saw, when for all the causes whereby backsliding Israel committed adultery I had put her away, and given her a bill of divorce; yet her treacherous sister Judah feared not, but went and played the harlot also.**” The “handwriting of ordinances that was against us” is found at Deut. 24:4: “**Her former husband, which sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before Yahweh ...**” At Luke 16:18, Yahshua Christ Himself declared: “**Whosoever putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, committeth adultery: and whosoever marrieth her that is put away from her husband committeth adultery.**” It should be glaringly apparent that once Yahweh had divorced Israel, he could not take her back as His wife again without breaking His own law on the matter! Nor could He marry another, such as the clergy call “Gentiles”! Nor could He have a son (whom many call “Jesus”) and allow Him to marry his Father’s wife without breaking His own law, as so many insist!

The only other provision is if one of the two parties of the marriage were to die. If Israel were to die, she had no power of resurrection, and she would be dead forever! So Yahweh took it on Himself to come in the flesh as a man and die in order to satisfy His own law, and having the power of resurrection can and will remarry Israel! (“... he that hath seen me hath seen the Father ...”) The important thing for the reader to understand is, upon Yahweh’s divorce of Israel for her unfaithfulness, she fell into an “unclean” category.

Getting back to Peter’s sheet-vision, Yahshua said, “What God hath cleansed, *that* call not thou common.” And the word common means “unclean”. Therefore the message of the Gospel is that by Christ’s shed blood upon the cross, Israel was cleansed. You will notice that it is written in the past tense, so it happened 2000 years ago, and people since that event have no choice in the matter! And we as Israel have been clean ever since! The only choice we have is to accept that cleansing and be thankful for it. Furthermore, Yahweh married Israel only, and by His law can take only Israel back! If He were to marry members of any other race, it would be adultery, and Yahweh Himself would become “unclean”! If He were to do that, as so many claim He will, He might as well have kept Israel in her adulterous condition! Do they mean to tell us that Yahweh is going to marry unclean sewer-people? Universalism is Satan’s agenda, not Yahweh’s! The sewer-races will not inherit the Kingdom!

It should be pointed out, though, as long as Israel had divorce status from Yahweh, she also was considered unclean, not that she was genetically impure in any sense of the matter. Many confuse the language at Isaiah chapter 56 because of this, and assume that Isaiah was opening the door of Israel’s Covenant to everyone, but that simply isn’t true. They will quote Isaiah 56:3, 5, 6, 7, 8: “³ **Neither let the son of the stranger, that hath joined himself to Yahweh, speak, saying, Yahweh hath utterly separated me from his people: neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I *am* a dry tree ...** ⁶ **Also the sons of the stranger, that join themselves to Yahweh, to serve him, and to love the name of Yahweh, to be his servants, every one that keepeth the sabbath from polluting it, and taketh hold of my covenant;** ⁷ **Even**

them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices *shall be accepted upon mine altar*; for mine house shall be called an house of prayer for all people.⁸ Yahweh *singular-elohim* which gathereth the outcasts of Israel saith, Yet will I gather *others* to him, beside those that are gathered unto him.” Typical universalists’ view on this passage can be found in the *Believer’s Bible Commentary* by William MacDonald, page 982: “... Neither the foreigner nor the eunuch should fear that they will be barred from any of the benefits of Christ’s kingdom. In fact, those who obey the Word of the Lord will have preferred positions. The temple will then be a house of prayer for all nations, not just Israel. God will gather Gentiles to His fold in addition to the house of Israel.” **BUT I SAY, THERE IS NOTHING FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH!**

Once we grasp that the northern Ten Tribes had been divorced by the Almighty, along with most of Judah, we can understand that they were cut-off from the Covenant and became **estranged** to Him. The tribes, being cut-off from the Covenant, became like a “eunuch” or a “dry tree”. Another simile about a eunuch is that he cannot procreate children to carry on his name, so too, Israel being divorced, lost her name. For that period, Israel’s seed had been cut-off, so figuratively, the simile of a “eunuch” is appropriate. Upon understanding that Israel was the “eunuch”, there is no longer a conflict with Deut. 23:1. This passage is not talking about bringing non-Israelites under the Covenant, but quite the opposite. Once Yahshua died for our Redemption, we were then brought back under the New Covenant, which **includes only** the House of Israel and the House of Judah, (Jeremiah 31: 31; Hebrews 8:8). And to try to force “whosoever” into the equation is a matter of fraud! Unclean sewer-people under the Covenant? You have to be kidding!

Then we must also understand the use of the word “stranger.” Some may argue that the word “stranger” at Isaiah 56:3 & 6 is #5236 instead of #1616. When Israel was divorced, they became equivalent to non-Israelites until Yahshua purchased them back, so #5236 is not out-of-order in this passage. At Isa. 56:2 “man” is used twice, #582 & #120 and Jamieson, Fausset & Brown’s *Commentary*, p. 582, on this passage describes #582 thus: “the man — *Hebrew, enosh*, ‘a man in humble life’, in contradistinction to *Hebrew, ish*, ‘one of high rank’.” In this sense the meaning of *enosh* is very fitting, for Israel was humbled when she was punished, but they didn’t become genetic sewer-people like bad-fig-jews, arabs, mexicans or any other nonwhite race!

It should also be evident that when it speaks of *others* at Isa. 56:8, it does not mean non-Israelites! It should be noticed that “*others*” is italicized here, although the remainder of the verse does support its use. So just whom are the others? Sometimes it would help if one were familiar with the history surrounding it, when the passage was written. Isaiah wrote this when Manasseh was king of Judah, who reigned 696-641 B.C. At that time the ten-tribed northern house of Israel were already captive in Assyria, and the southern kingdom of Judah was a tribute-paying province of the Assyrians. During Manasseh’s reign, he tolerated the high places, and Judah’s morals dipped to an all-time low, even beyond that which the northern Ten Tribes had committed, leading to a certain amount of race-mixing with the Canaanites, thus producing half-breed unclean

sewer-children. So the “*others*” at verse 8 can only be pureblooded members of the ten northern tribes! This whole passage at Isaiah 56:3-8 can only be speaking of the restoration of the ten northern tribes, at the time of Isaiah, back into the Kingdom after their Christ-bloodwashed cleansing under the ministry of Paul, which Peter saw in his four-cornered sheet-vision. Yahweh in the flesh didn’t die for pigs, but rather Israelites! It is blasphemous to even suggest that Christ died for pigs! Nevertheless, pig is unclean and unfit for consumption by White men, but permissible for the other races.

Adultery happens within a woman’s body with the introduction of an alien element. In metallurgy the word “alloy” means “... 2: to reduce the purity of by mixing with a less valuable metal ... 3b: an impairing alien element ...” (Merriam-Webster’s *Collegiate Dictionary*, 10th ed.) “Impairing” means: “cause to diminish in quality, power or value; make worse.” Is this not exactly what happens when an alien sperm fertilizes the egg of a pure White female? Such an introduction is a sin for which there can be no forgiveness, for the end product can never be corrected. Once such a conception of a sperm cell and an egg takes place, every succeeding cell forming the baby has the blueprint of that alien element, which is unclean. Under such a scenario, every single cell is polluted, therefore nothing short of complete annihilation by the angels of Christ at Matt. 13:47-50 can remedy such a sin. If the conception is unclean, so too the entire formation of the final product, as well as all generations downstream forever, and that’s a lot of uncleanness.

That is why 1 John 3:9 states: “**Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin; for his seed remaineth in him: and he cannot sin, because he is born of God.**” This is not some kind of spiritual seed as some would suppose, for the word in Greek is *sperma*, and whoever heard of spiritual sperm? And the way “his seed remaineth in him” is – he chooses a wife of his own kind. Was not Eve made from Adam? Didn’t Adam’s seed remain in him when Abel and Seth were conceived? It’s when a man goes into a foreign woman that his seed remaineth NOT in him and he commiteth sin, or likewise when an alien goes into a White woman is when she sins. That’s why the Bible defines such an offspring as a bastard! And because it has an alien element, it’s unclean! And no amount of washing can make it clean!

Jeremiah’s language makes that quite clear at 2:21-22: “²¹ **Yet I had planted thee [Judah] a noble [unmixed] vine, wholly a right [racial] seed: how then art thou turned into the degenerate [race-mixed] plant of a strange vine unto me? ²² For though thou wash thee with nitre [strong lye], and take thee much soap, yet thine iniquity is marked before me, saith Yahweh.**” In other words, one could buy forty gallons of strong lye soap and shower for forty days and forty nights, and one could not wash away the mark of an alien! Such a mark is a mark of uncleanness! Oh the money that is wasted on foreign missions!

Ezra, at 9:10-11 lamented: “¹⁰ **And now, O our God, what shall we say after this? for we have forsaken thy commandments, ¹¹ Which thou hast commanded by thy servants the prophets, saying, The land, unto which ye go to possess it, is an unclean land with the filthiness of the people of the lands, with their abominations, which have filled it from one end to another with their uncleanness.**” This is a similar description of America today, with all of the unclean

sewer-people pouring in from distant lands from all over the world to become bloodsuckers and leeches on our economy, while at the same time defiling our women to annihilate us as a pure race. The introduction of the mexican sewer-people alone has lead to a major catastrophe! At this verse, Ezra was addressing White people, advising them of the evils of a race very much like today's mexicans.

At Job 14:4 we read of his wisdom: “**Who can bring a clean *thing* out of an unclean? not one.**”

Christ declared to the scribes and Pharisees that they were an unclean racial people at Matt. 23:27: “**Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead *men's* bones, and of all [*racial*] uncleanness.**”

At 1 Cor. 7:12-14 we come across another manner of uncleanness, and that would be “clean” or “unclean” children: “¹² **If any brother hath a wife that believeth not, and she be pleased to dwell with him, let him not put her away.** ¹³ **And the woman which hath an husband that believeth not, and if he be pleased to dwell with her, let her not leave him.** ¹⁴ **For the unbelieving husband is sanctified by the wife, and the unbelieving wife is sanctified by the husband: else were your children unclean; but now are they holy.** ¹⁵ **But if the unbelieving depart, let him depart. A brother or a sister is not under bondage in such *cases*: but God hath called us to peace.** ¹⁶ **For what knowest thou, O wife, whether thou shalt save *thy* husband? or how knowest thou, O man, whether thou shalt save *thy* wife?”** Paul is here addressing something which is little understood and seldom addressed by churchianity today. This passage is indicative of Adam and Eve in the garden of Eden. Because Eve was genetically of Adam, Eve, though she sinned, was sanctified in Adam, just as the wife or husband in this passage. Take away the equation of race here, and Paul's words mean nothing. This whole passage is based on believing the Gospel that Christ died on the cross in order to redeem his former wife, the twelve tribes of Israel, back to himself. The Gospel has nothing to do with what some term as “the original sin”. There are some teachers who, on every reading of the word “sin”, will replace it with the words “old Adam”. But at 1 Tim. 2:14, Paul declares that: “**And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression.**” But we are told that Adam also ate of the forbidden tree. Think of it this way: If your wife takes out the family automobile and has an accident causing several thousands dollars of damage, when a claim is made for damages, you, the husband will find yourself equally liable along with your wife. In other words, when Eve committed adultery with the serpent, Adam was equally responsible for Eve's action. Now that was the original sin! So why don't the preachers say “old Eve” rather than “old Adam”? The “children” in this passage are NOT racially “unclean”, but without at least one believing parent, are doomed to grow up in an unclean idolatrous environment and become mentally unclean. And it is simply appalling the mental uncleanness that our children are receiving today from our public school systems.

Uncleanness always generates more uncleanness. Homosexuality generates more homosexuality, both among men and women. Today, our armed services are replete with such unclean activity. In the high schools tremendous peer pressure is

directed toward any young White virgin lady to have sexual intercourse with a member of a different race. And should she have a date with a young Caucasian man, she will be scorned and ridiculed to no end. Therefore, race-mixing generates more race-mixing! When we view what is going on in the world today, Sodom and Gomorrah would compare to a Sunday school picnic. Peter said at 2 Pet. 2:5-6: “⁵ **And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly;** ⁶ **And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrha into ashes condemned them with an overthrow, making them an ensample unto those that after should live ungodly ...**” We’re not playing a game of tinker toys here; race-mixing and homosexuality will be punished!

Few there are who notice that the reason Noah and his three sons and their wives were spared is because they were perfect in their genealogy. There wasn’t a halfbreed among them! Paul warned at Acts 15:20 and 1 Thess. 4:3 that we should avoid all pollutions of idols and unclean fornication (interracial sexual intercourse).

Acts 15:20: “**But that we write unto them, that they abstain from pollutions of idols, and from fornication, and from things strangled, and from blood.**”

1 Thess. 4:3: “**For this is the will of God, even your sanctification, that ye should abstain from fornication.**”

Among the holiness movements they usually refer to sanctification as a second work of grace. But I believe it is so important to guard one’s racial purity that it should be considered a first work of grace. What Paul was trying to warn the Thessalonians about was: So your offspring will be born Holy, don’t perpetrate any race-mixing. Today we see a lot of unclean, unholy children coming into the world, and they are nothing more than sewer-creatures. One either has Holy seed or nothing! Ezra speaks of “holy seed” at 9:2: “**For they have taken of their daughters for themselves, and for their sons: so that the holy seed have mingled themselves with the people of those lands: yea, the hand of the princes and rulers hath been chief in this trespass.**”

Holy, clean seed was important to Ezra during his day, and it is still just as important in our day. Though the majority seem to be following Satan’s agenda for interracial multiculturalism, there is an even greater need for followers of Yahweh’s program for segregation! At the moment, Satan seems to be winning his cause, but in the end he and his fallen will lose. But meanwhile we must not give up the fight. This war between the seed of the serpent and the seed of the woman has been going on now for nearly 7,500 years, and will soon end with the defeat of satanic-Canaanites and their father!

Christ informed the scribes and Pharisees at Matt. 23:32 that they were of the “unclean” racially-mixed Canaanites mentioned at Gen. 15:16. (Compare “Fill ye up thou the measure of your fathers” to “... for the iniquity of the Amorites *is* not yet full.”) These two verses cross-reference each other in many Bibles. In addition, Paul also identifies them as Canaanites at 1 Thess. 2:16, “... to fill up their sins away[s] ...”